metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Triaquatris(µ-oxydiacetato)dipraseodymium(III) pentahydrate and hexaaquatris(µ-oxydiacetato)dineodymium(III) oxydiacetic acid solvate dihydrate

Ricardo Baggio,^a* Mireille Perec^b and Maria Teresa Garland^c

^aDepartamento de Física, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Buenos Aires, Argentina, ^bDepartamento de Química Inorgánica, Analítica y Química Física, INQUIMAE, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, UNBA, Buenos Aires, Argentina, and ^cDepartamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad de Chile, Casilla 487-3, Santiago de Chile, Chile Correspondence e-mail: baggio@cnea.gov.ar

Received 1 October 1999 Accepted 7 December 1999

Two new complexes of the $Ln_2(oda)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ (oda = $-O_2CCH_2OCH_2OC_2-$) series are reported, *i.e.* {[Pr₂(C₄H₄- $O_5)_3(H_2O)_3$]·5H₂O}_n and {[Nd₂(C₄H₄O₅)₃(H₂O)₆]·C₄H₆O₅·-2H₂O]_n. The former is isostructural with the reported La analogue, while the latter is a new structural variety within the series. Each compound exhibits two independent nine-coordinated Ln centres showing a variety of coordination geometries.

Comment

The self-assembly of lanthanide(III) metal centres with oxydiacetate ligands (oda = $-O_2CCH_2OCH_2CO_2$ -) leads to a series of crystalline coordination solids formulated as $Ln_2(oda)_3 \cdot nH_2O$ with an interesting variety of crystal-packing motifs. This is in accordance with the experience that the crystal structures of the lanthanide compounds frequently change along the series as a result of the lanthanide contraction. The crystals formed by the larger lanthanum $[La_2(C_4H_4O_5)_3(H_2O_3)_3 \cdot 5H_2O]_n$ are hexagonal (*P*62*c*; Baggio *et* al., 1996) and present two nine-coordinated La centres; those for the intermediate gadolinium and europium compounds are orthorhombic (Ama2; Aramendia et al., 2000), and present a mixture of nine- and eight-coordinated Ln centres, as is also the case for the smaller Er (Elding, 1977) and Y (Baggio et al., 1998) analogues, which crystallize in the orthorhombic space group $C222_1$.

Focusing our attention primarily on the structural aspects of these extended polymeric 4f complexes, we report herein the preparation and structural characterization of the analogous compounds comprising praseodymium and neodymium, *i.e.*

 $\{ [Pr_2(C_4H_4O_5)_3(H_2O)_3] \cdot 5H_2O \}_n, (I), \text{ and } \{ [Nd_2(C_4H_4O_5)_3 \cdot (H_2O)_6] \cdot 2H_2O \cdot C_4H_6O_5 \}_n, (II).$



Compound (I) is isostructural with the lanthanum polymer (Baggio et al., 1996) and will not be described in too much detail here. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 1, and Fig. 1(a) gives a sketch of its coordination scheme. The two metal centres are highly symmetric, with Pr2 occupying a site a of local symmetry 32, and coordinated to three tridentate oda units, while Pr1, which occupies a site d of symmetry $\overline{6}$, receives six bonds from the outer carboxylate O atoms, as well as those from three aqua molecules. Both coordination polyhedra have the shape of tricapped trigonal prisms, the one around Pr2 being regular and the one centred at Pr1 displaying a rotation of ca 13° between opposite triangular faces. The fact that all the carboxylate as well as the ether O atoms take part in the connectivity to the cations leads to a tightly woven three-dimensional network, leaving only a few empty spaces, filled in turn by the (disordered) hydration water molecules, which attach to the main structure via hydrogen bonding (see Table 2 for short $O \cdots O$ contacts).

Compound (II) presents, instead, a novel disposition of the ligands not found before in any member of the series. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 3. As already stated, two independent Nd centres are present: Nd1 is bonded to two tridentate oda groups (oda1 and oda2) and to two water molecules (O1W and O2W). The ninth bond (O41) is provided by a [010] translationally related oda1 ligand, resulting in a linear polymeric chain along the short unique b axis.

As is often the case with lanthanide cations bound to three tridentate oda groups, or to two tridentate odas plus three extra monodentate units completing the ninefold environment (the present case), the Nd1 polyhedron displays a tricapped trigonal prismatic geometry. The three monodentate ligands (O1W, O2W and O41) adopt a planar configuration very much resembling that of the missing third oda unit. The resulting polyhedron is one with only slight modifications from the regular environment found in much more symmetric polyhedra [*e.g.* the one around Pr1 in (I)].

A completely different arrangement is found for Nd2, which is bonded to a single tridentate oxydiacetate group (oda3) and to six monodentate units, four of which are water molecules (O3W to O6W), and the remaining two, O atoms from bridging carboxylates. One of these is O43 from a neigbouring (also a [010] translationally related) oxydiacetate unit, again resulting in linear chains parallel to those already defined by the Nd1 polyhedra. The second, O42, is provided by these latter groups; the Nd–O42 bonds transversally link both types of linear arrays, acting as the rungs of a firmly connected ladder-like strip (Fig. 2).

The presence of a single tridentate and six monodentate ligands favours a less constrained environment around Nd2 in the form of a monocapped square antiprism in which O33 occupies the capping position, O13, O53, O4W and O5W are in the equatorial plane, and the remaining two water molecules plus the two remaining bridging carboxylate O atoms



the polyhedron is observed for the rather long Nd2-O3Wdistance [2.674 (9) Å]. The structure is completed by two noncoordinated water molecules (O7 and a triply disordered O8W) and an H₂oda neutral molecule. All of them, as well as the six coordinated water molecules, play an active role as hydrogen donors in a dense hydrogen-bonding network in which the O atoms from the coordinated oda groups act mainly as acceptors. Although the H atoms bonded to O atoms could not be reliably located, the hydrogen-bonding interaction scheme could be confidently guessed both from the shortest (<3.0 Å) $O \cdots O$ contacts (shown in Table 4) and from some significant structural details (viz C14-O24 and C44-O44 are sensibly longer than C14-O14 and C44-O54, respectively, at the same time that $O24 \cdots O22$ and $O44 \cdots O51$ are rather short). Charge-balance and IR data (see Experi*mental*) required the presence of two OH groups in oda4; the preceding geometrical arguments, in turn, point almost unambiguously to the protonated character of O24 and O44.

(O42 and O43) are in the basal plane. The largest distortion in



Schematic diagram along a* showing a ladder-like strip in (II) built up along the unique axis b.

Experimental

The reaction of Pr₂O₃ and Nd₂O₃ with excess oxydiacetic acid in water (1:7:100) and subsequent work-up afforded light-green crystals of (I) and light-blue crystals of (II) in good yields. The procedure has been described previously in detail (Baggio et al., 1996). The IR spectra of the two compounds show significant changes in the COOH range. In fact, the IR spectrum of compound (II) shows the characteristic absorptions of the acyl carbonyl groups (1738–1683 cm⁻¹) in addition to the bands of the carboxylate anions (1597 and 1445 cm⁻¹) and water molecules (~1641 and ~3550–3400 cm⁻¹) also observed for compound (I). Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) measurements show that both compounds lose almost exactly eight water molecules per formula unit well below 450 K.

All chemicals were of reagent grade purity and were used as received. Water was purified by a Millipore milli-Q system. Elemental analyses (C, H) were performed with a Carlo Erba EA 1108 analyser. IR spectra were recorded as KBr discs with a Nicolet 510P FT-IR spectrophotometer. Thermogravimetric analyses were recorded on a Shimadzu DTG50 thermal analyzer under an atmosphere of air at a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹.

Figure 1

Molecular diagrams showing the atom numbering and coordination schemes of (a) compound (I) and (b) compound (II). Independent atoms are shown in full line and symmetry-related atoms in dotted lines. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level.

(*b*)

. C42 042

 \otimes $O\bar{6}W$

🚫 07W

C44

⊕ 044

O54

Ø 022

Compound (I)

Crystal data

[Pr₂(C₄H₄O₅)₃(H₂O)₃]·5H₂O $M_r = 822.16$ Hexagonal, $P\overline{62}c$ a = 9.4003 (12) Å V = 1327.8 (4) Å³ Z = 2 $D_x = 2.06$ Mg m⁻³ Mo Kα radiation

Data collection

Siemens R3m diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (XEMP in SHELXTL/PC; Sheldrick, 1994) $T_{min} = 0.35, T_{max} = 0.60$ 1693 measured reflections 490 independent reflections (plus 290 Friedel-related reflections)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.020$ $wR(F^2) = 0.059$ S = 1.083780 reflections 63 parameters H-atom parameters constrained Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 7.5-12.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.72 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) KPrism, light green $0.35 \times 0.15 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$

738 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.034$ $\theta_{max} = 25.01^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 0$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 11$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 20$ 2 standard reflections every 98 reflections intensity decay: <2%

 $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.031P)^{2} + 2.733P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.01$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.94 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.87 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983) Flack parameter = -0.02 (4)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å) for (I).

-			· · · · ·
Pr1-O2	2.444 (4)	Pr2–O3	2.552 (5)
Pr1-O1W	2.666 (7)	O1-C1	1.259 (7)
Pr2-O1	2.478 (4)	O2-C1	1.251 (7)
112-01	2.470 (4)	02-01	1.251 (7

Tabl	e 2
------	-----

Contact distances (Å) for (I).

$\begin{array}{c} O1 \cdots O2WA \\ O1 \cdots O2WB \end{array}$	2.99 (1) 2.94 (2)	$\begin{array}{c} O1W \cdots O2WA^{i} \\ O1W \cdots O2WB^{i} \end{array}$	2.63 (2) 2.96 (3)
---	----------------------	---	----------------------

Symmetry code: (i) 1 - y, 1 + x - y, z.

Compound (II)

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\mathrm{Nd}(\mathrm{C}_4\mathrm{H}_4\mathrm{O}_5)_3(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O})_6] - \\ & \mathrm{C}_4\mathrm{H}_6\mathrm{O}_5{\cdot}2\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \\ & M_r = 962.91 \\ & \mathrm{Monoclinic}, \ P2_1/n \\ & a = 14.096 \ (2) \ \mathrm{\AA} \\ & b = 6.844 \ (1) \ \mathrm{\AA} \\ & c = 32.223 \ (3) \ \mathrm{\AA} \\ & \beta = 99.59 \ (1)^\circ \\ & V = 3065.1 \ (6) \ \mathrm{\AA}^3 \\ & Z = 4 \end{split}$$

 $D_x = 2.09 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 7.5-15.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.46 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) KPlate, light blue $0.36 \times 0.24 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Siemens R3m diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ -scan (XEMP in SHELXTL/PC; Sheldrick, 1994) $T_{min} = 0.38, T_{max} = 0.70$ 5674 measured reflections 5393 independent reflections 3568 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$ $wR(F^2) = 0.145$ S = 1.035393 reflections 419 parameters

Table 3

Selected geometric parameters (Å) for (II).

Nd1-O12	2.412 (7)	Nd2–O3W	2.674 (9)
$Nd1 - O41^{i}$	2.445 (8)	O11-C11	1.260 (13)
Nd1-O52	2.448 (7)	O21-C11	1.246 (13)
Nd1-O11	2.457 (7)	O41-C41	1.239 (14)
Nd1 - O1W	2.462 (9)	O51-C41	1.280 (14)
Nd1-O32	2.531 (7)	O12-C12	1.253 (12)
Nd1-O51	2.557 (8)	O22-C12	1.256 (12)
Nd1 - O2W	2.566 (8)	O42-C42	1.233 (13)
Nd1-O31	2.575 (7)	O52-C42	1.276 (13)
Nd2-O43 ⁱ	2.426 (7)	O13-C13	1.264 (13)
Nd2-O42	2.455 (7)	O23-C13	1.240 (13)
Nd2-O13	2.463 (7)	O43-C43	1.262 (13)
Nd2 - O5W	2.464 (7)	O53-C43	1.257 (13)
Nd2-O53	2.485 (8)	O14-C14	1.208 (14)
Nd2 - O4W	2.485 (8)	O24-C14	1.322 (13)
Nd2 - O6W	2.555 (7)	O44-C44	1.298 (14)
Nd2-O33	2.568 (7)	O54-C44	1.200 (14)

 $R_{\rm int}=0.040$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.00^{\circ}$

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 16$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 8$

 $l = -38 \rightarrow 37$

2 standard reflections

every 98 reflections

intensity decay: < 2%

H-atom parameters constrained

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.77 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.89 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.065P)^2 + 37.22P]$

Symmetry code: (i) x, y - 1, z.

Table 4			
Contact distances	(Å)	for	(II).

$O1W \cdot \cdot \cdot O23^{i}$	2.61 (1)	$O4W \cdots O52$	2.76(1)
$O1W \cdots O8WA$	2.70 (3)	$O5W \cdot \cdot \cdot O14$	2.79 (1)
$O2W \cdot \cdot \cdot O41^{ii}$	2.83 (1)	O5W···O34	2.90(1)
O2W···O23 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.75 (1)	$O5W \cdots O54$	2.72 (1)
$O2W \cdots O8WA$	2.89 (3)	O6W···O43 ⁱⁱ	2.99 (1)
$O2W \cdots O8WC^{iv}$	2.81 (2)	$O6W \cdots O7W$	2.74 (1)
O3W···O21 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.73 (1)	$O7W \cdot \cdot \cdot O53^{v}$	2.91 (1)
O3W···O43 ⁱⁱ	2.79(1)	$O8WA \cdot \cdot \cdot O22^{iv}$	2.74 (2)
O3W···O52	2.90 (1)	$O24 \cdot \cdot \cdot O22^{vi}$	2.55 (1)
$O4W \cdot \cdot \cdot O21^{i}$	2.61 (1)	$O44 \cdot \cdot \cdot O51^v$	2.59(1)

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (ii) x, y - 1, z; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (iv) -x, 1 - y, -z; (v) $-\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (vi) $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$.

In both structures, some hydration water molecules appeared split over a number of sites of lower occupancy, thus making it difficult to assess their correct total number from the X-ray data alone. They were accordingly refined with adjustable populations constrained to add up to the value given by the thermogravimetric analysis, and a unique common isotropic displacement factor. As the H atoms could not be found in the difference Fourier synthesis, only those attached to carbon were included in idealized positions, and refined using a riding scheme, both in coordinates as in displacement factors. For both compounds, data collection: *P3/P4-PC* (Siemens, 1991); cell refinement: *P3/P4-PC*; data reduction: *XDISK* in *SHELXTL/PC* (Sheldrick, 1994); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *XP* in *SHELXTL/PC*; software used to prepare material for publication: *CIFTAB* (Sheldrick, 1993), *PARST* (Nardelli, 1983) and CSD (Allen *et al.*, 1983).

This work was supported by the Universidad de Buenos Aires, by the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET, Argentina) and by project FONDECYT 1981027. MP is a member of CONICET. We thank Miss A. Petragalli for the TGA measurements. The provision of a free-of-charge licence to the CSD system by the Spanish Research Council (CSIC) in gratefully acknowledged. Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BK1511). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O. & Taylor, R. (1983). Acc. Chem. Res. 16, 146–153.Aramendia, P. F., Baggio, R., Garland, M. T. & Perec, M. (2000). Inorg. Chim. Acta. Submitted.
- Baggio, R., Garland, M. T. & Perec, M. (1998). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 281, 18–24.
- Baggio, R., Garland, M. T., Perec, M. & Vega, D. (1996). Inorg. Chem. 35, 2396–2399.
- Elding, J. (1977). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, 31, 75-78.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). CIFTAB. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). SHELXTL/PC. Version 5.0. Siemens Analytical
- X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1991). *P3/P4-PC*. Version 4.27. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.